

JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6769
ORONOZ, ERICSSON & GAFFNEY LLC
1050 INDIGO DRIVE, SUITE 120
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Telephone: (702) 878-2889
Facsimile: (702) 522-1542
jim@oronozlawyers.com
Attorney for Carolyn Willis-Casey

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY,
Defendant.

CASE NO: 2:12-cr-463-JCM-VCF

**STIPULATION TO CONTINUE
SENTENCING HEARING**

(2nd Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between JIAMIE CHEN, Assistant United States Attorney; J. GREGORY DAMM, Assistant United States Attorney; and JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ., counsel for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY that the sentencing hearing currently scheduled for June 2, 2016, at the hour of 1:30 p.m., be continued to a time convenient to the Court, preferably on June 30, 2016, at the same time as the sentencing for co-defendant, Denise Williams.

This stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

1. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing Hearing is reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the “court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule.”

Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 770 Filed 05/26/16 Page 2 of 4

- 1 2. Ms. Willis-Casey recently received a revised presentence investigation report, and is
- 2 requesting additional time to review the revised presentence investigation report and
- 3 prepare the arguments for the sentencing memorandum.
- 4 3. Defense counsel for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY has spoken to his client, who is
- 5 currently in custody, and she has no objection to the continuance.
- 6 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 7 5. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant
- 8 sufficient time, to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing. Accordingly, a
- 9 denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

10 This is the second stipulation to continue filed herein.

11 DATED: May 26, 2016.

12 /s/ James Oronoz
13 JAMES A. ORONOZ, ESQ.
14 1050 Indigo Drive, Suite 120
15 Las Vegas, NV 89145
16 Counsel for Carolyn Willis-Casey

17 /s/ Jiamie Chen
18 JIAMIE CHEN, ESQ.
19 Assistant United States Attorney
20 J. GREGORY DAMM, ESQ.
21 Assistant United States Attorney
22 501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, #1100
23 Las Vegas, NV 89101
24 Counsel for United States

Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 770 Filed 05/26/16 Page 3 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,

vs.

CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY,

Defendant.

CASE NO: 2:12-cr-463-JCM-VCF

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

1. The additional time requested by this Stipulation to Continue Sentencing Hearing is reasonable pursuant to Fed.R.Crim.P. Rule 32(b)(2), which states that the “court may, for good cause, change any time limits prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule.”
 2. Ms. Willis-Casey recently received a revised presentence investigation report, and is requesting additional time to review the revised presentence investigation report and prepare the arguments for the sentencing memorandum.
 3. Defense counsel for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY has spoken to his client, who is currently in custody, and she has no objection to the continuance.
 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
 5. Denial of this request for a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant sufficient time, to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing. Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

This is the second stipulation to continue filed herein.

Case 2:12-cr-00463-JCM-VCF Document 770 Filed 05/26/16 Page 4 of 4

CONCLUSION OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interests of the public in proceeding with the sentencing hearing as scheduled, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the defendants sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the sentencing hearing for CAROLYN WILLIS-CASEY currently scheduled for June 2, 2016, at the hour of 1:30 p.m. be vacated and continued to the **30th day of June, 2016 at 10:30 a.m.**.

DATED AND DONE this 21 day of May, 2016.

~~UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE~~